

Serial No. 09/765,851

### REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed July 26, 2005, the Examiner objected to Drawing Figure 1. An amended drawing will be provided labeled "PRIOR ART."

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 1-4, 6, and 8-10. The claims have been amended as suggested by the Examiner except for the dependency of claim 6 from claim 8. This objection is traversed as it is not clear what the basis is for the Examiner's objection and rejection. Applicant's can not renumber claims, whereas the Examiner can. The claim dependency does not in and of itself render the claims unclear.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC Section 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The claims have been amended.

The Examiner rejection of the claims and 8 because there is not connection or cooperation of the input for inputting a real part and an imaginary part is traversed. The symbol is described, and the symbol is further referenced. There is not indefinites raised.

It is respectfully submitted that the claims are clear and are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is solicited.

Respectfully Submitted

Rodriguez, Michael J.

BY: 

Randall S. Vaas Date

Registration No. 34,479

Phone (847) 523-2327

Fax. No. (847) 523-2350

2-22-2006